

Appendix 2. Regression equations to calculate carbon uptake in study greenspaces

	Species	DBH	Equation*	Source	
Tree	<i>Abies holophylla</i>	5-19	$\ln Y = -3.1386 + 1.6158 \ln \text{DBH}$	Jo et al. (2014)	
	<i>Acer palmatum</i>	7-27	$Y = e^{(-0.4617 + 1.8613 \ln \text{DBH})} \times 0.0883 \times 1.0202$ $- e^{(-2.1744 + 1.7294 \ln \text{DBH})} \times 0.4748$	Jo and Cho (1998)	
			5-20	$Y = 0.9608 + 0.1535 \text{DBH}$	Jo and Ahn (2012)
	<i>Camellia japonica</i>	4-10	$\ln Y = -5.6582 + 2.8731 \ln \text{DAG}$	Jo et al. (2019c)	
	<i>Chionanthus retusus</i>	3-11	$\ln Y = -3.0342 + 1.7819 \ln \text{DBH}$	Jo et al. (2014)	
	<i>Cornus officinalis</i>	3-15	$\ln Y = -3.8686 + 1.8556 \ln \text{DAG}$	Jo et al. (2014)	
	<i>Ginkgo biloba</i>	6-31	$Y = e^{(-2.0430 + 2.3359 \ln \text{DBH})} \times 0.2338 \times 0.5769$ $- e^{(-4.5072 + 2.5136 \ln \text{DBH})} \times 0.5742$	Jo and Cho (1998)	
			5-25	$\ln Y = -3.6471 + 1.8287 \ln \text{DBH}$	Jo and Ahn (2012)
	<i>Ilex rotunda</i>	3-12	$\ln Y = -4.0651 + 2.1464 \ln \text{DBH}$	Jo et al. (2019b)	
	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i>	3-14	$\ln Y = -3.2160 + 1.4838 \ln \text{DAG}$	Jo et al. (2019c)	
	<i>Machilus thunbergii</i>	4-17	$\ln Y = -3.3291 + 2.0051 \ln \text{DAG}$	Jo et al. (2019b)	
	<i>Pinus densiflora</i>	5-25	$\ln Y = -2.6720 + 1.5251 \ln \text{DBH}$	Jo et al. (2013)	
	<i>Pinus koraiensis</i>	5-31	$\ln Y = -4.4881 + 2.2262 \ln \text{DBH}$	Jo et al. (2013)	
	<i>Pinus thunbergii</i>	5-39	$\ln Y = -2.7883 + 1.5064 \ln \text{DAG}$	GIR (2023)	
	<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	10-58	$Y = (32.604 + 0.0204 \text{H}^2 \text{CV}) \times 0.1613 \times$ $0.6640 - (3.9546 + 0.003 \text{H}^2 \text{CV}) \times 0.4430$	Jo and Cho (1998)	
	<i>Prunus armeniaca</i>	4-14	$\ln Y = -3.5352 + 1.8817 \ln \text{DBH}$	Jo et al. (2014)	
	<i>Prunus yedoensis</i>	5-23	$\ln Y = -3.0939 + 1.7702 \ln \text{DBH}$	Jo and Ahn (2012)	
	<i>Quercus myrsinifolia</i>	3-17	$\ln Y = -2.7303 + 1.8411 \ln \text{DBH}$	Jo et al. (2019c)	
	<i>Taxus cuspidata</i>	2-15	$\ln Y = -4.7726 + 1.8554 \ln \text{DAG}$	Jo et al. (2014)	
	<i>Zelkova serrata</i>	6-34	$Y = e^{(-0.5771 + 2.1456 \ln \text{DBH})} \times 0.1957 \times 0.7825$ $- e^{(-2.2997 + 1.9256 \ln \text{DBH})} \times 0.5877$	Jo and Cho (1998)	
			5-28	$\ln Y = -2.8177 + 1.7715 \ln \text{DBH}$	Jo and Ahn (2012)
	<i>General hardwoods</i>		3-28	$\ln Y = -2.6119 + 1.5686 \ln \text{DBH}$	Jo (2020)
	<i>General softwoods</i>		5-31	$\ln Y = -3.7807 + 1.9347 \ln \text{DBH}$	Jo (2020)
	Shrub	<i>General hardwoods</i>	0.4-4.0	$Y = e^{(2.7694 + 0.9729 \ln \text{DAG})} \times (12/44)$	Jo (2001)
		<i>General softwoods</i>	0.4-4.0	$Y = e^{(2.8203 + 1.2262 \ln \text{DAG})} \times (12/44)$	Jo (2001)

* Y = carbon uptake (kg/tree/yr), DBH = stem diameter at breast height (cm), DAG = stem diameter at ground level (cm), CV = crown volume (m³), H = height (m)